



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/827,088	04/19/2004	Kuang-Kai Liu	9606	1872

27752 7590 05/26/2006

THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION
WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161
6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE
CINCINNATI, OH 45224

EXAMINER

BOGART, MICHAEL G

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3761

DATE MAILED: 05/26/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SP

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/827,088	LIU, KUANG-KAI
	Examiner Michael G. Bogart	Art Unit 3761

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 March 2006.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 15 March 2006 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Withdrawal of Allowable Subject Matter

The indicated allowability of claims 7-10 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Castello. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Castello (US 4,931,051).

Castello teaches a diaper having a backsheet (190), a topsheet (170) and an absorbent core (180). Castello teaches a color wetness indicator printed onto a surface of a backsheet of the diaper (col. 2, lines 30-62). Castello further teaches a coating or varnish over the wetness indicator to prevent premature activation (col. 5, lines 14-21).

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Castello.

Castello teaches the use of methanol as a non-aqueous alcohol solvent (col. 5, line 66-col. 6, line 4). Castello does not expressly disclose the specific concentration of solvent relative to dye.

Generally, differences in concentration or temperature will not support the patentability of subject matter encompassed by the prior art unless there is evidence indicating such concentration or temperature is critical. “[W]here the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation.” *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955).

A particular parameter must first be recognized as a result-effective variable, i.e., a variable which achieves a recognized result, before the determination of the optimum or workable ranges of said variable might be characterized as routine experimentation. *In re Antonie*, 559 F.2d 618, 195 USPQ 6 (CCPA 1977). In the instant case, increasing the amount of dye would increase the visibility of an image formed by the dye.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Castello as applied to claims 1 and 8 above, and further in view of Schleinz *et al.* (US 5,458,590 A; hereinafter “Schleinz”).

Castello does not teach the claimed acetate(s).

Schleinz teaches an ink blend comprising n-propyl acetate (col. 2, lines 44-67) which improves crockfastness.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the acetate of Schleinz in the wetness indicator of Castello in order to provide improved adhesion of the ink to the substrate.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Castello as applied to claim 1 and 8 above, and further in view of Ito *et al.* (US 5,595,754 A; hereinafter “Ito”).

Castello does not teach the claimed coating materials.

Ito teaches absorbent color-changing sheets which use polyamides as resins in an impermeable layer (col. 6, lines 33 and 34).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to select the polyamide construction of Ito to use as an impermeable layer in the device of Castello in order to provide a known art recognized suitability for this purpose. MPEP § 2144.07.

Claims 7 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Castello as applied to claims 1 and 8 above, and further in view of Olson *et al.* (WO 00/76442 A1; hereinafter “Olson”).

Castello does not teach a wetness indicator printed on an inner surface.

Olson teaches an absorbent article having a changing wetness indicator printed on an inner surface of a backsheet (page 13, lines 8-12).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the graphic on the inner surface as taught by Olson with the absorbent article of Castello in order to provide partial protection from exterior humidity.

Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Castello as applied to claims 1 and 8 above, and further in view of Polansky *et al.* (US 4,249,532; hereinafter “Polansky”).

Castello does not teach varnish disposed beneath the color responsive composition.

Polansky teaches a seal coat underlying a graphic design.

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a seal coat beneath a graphic as taught by Polansky in combination with the wetness indicating article of Castello in order to provide additional means of preventing premature activation.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Bogart whose telephone number is (571) 272-4933.

In the event the examiner is not available, the Examiner’s supervisor, Tatyana Zalukaeva may be reached at phone number (571) 272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300 for formal communications. For informal communications, the direct fax to the Examiner is (571) 273-4933.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-3700.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

Art Unit: 3761

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Michael Bogart
23 May 2006

TATYANA ZAIUKAEVA
SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER

